

1. Nonlinear equations: Solution:

The function $f(x) = x - g(x)$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ and crosses the axis: $f(a) = a - g(a) < 0 < b - g(b) = f(b)$. Hence, there exists at least one zero, u , of f (that is, a fixed point of g) in $[a, b]$. Assume also that $g(v) = v = u$. Then $0 < |u - v| = |g(u) - g(v)| < |u - v| < |u - v|$, a contradiction. Thus, $u = v$ and we have proved uniqueness. Convergence holds as follows:

$$|u - x_{n+1}| = |g(u) - g(x_n)| \leq |u - x_n|,$$

which, by induction, implies convergence of x_n to u according to

$$|u - x_n| \leq r^n |u - x_0|.$$

The explicit linear convergence bound now follows:

$$|x_{n+1} - u| = |g(x_n) - g(u)| \leq r |x_n - u|.$$

2. Numerical quadrature: Solution:

We first note that symmetry tells that $a = \beta$. (If there were solutions with $a \neq \beta$, we would obtain equally valid ones with a and β interchanged, and averaging these formulas will also create valid formulas with the coefficients for $u(0)$ and $u(1)$ equal.)

In all the three cases, the resulting formula should be exact for the test function $u(x) = 1$, implying

$$1 = 2a + \beta. \tag{1}$$

It thus only remains in each of the three cases to find a second test function, giving a second equation for the two unknowns.

a. Trapezoidal rule:

This quadrature formula should be exact for piecewise linear functions. Hence, consider for example

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} x & , 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 1-x & , \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases} .$$

It should now hold $\int_0^1 u(x) dx = \frac{1}{4} = a \cdot 0 + \beta \cdot \frac{1}{2} + a \cdot 0$. Together with (1), we obtain $a = \frac{1}{4}, \beta = \frac{1}{2}$.

b. Simpson's formula:

This method should be exact for an arbitrary quadratic function, in particular for $u(x) = x(1-x)$. We now get $\int_0^1 u(x) dx = \frac{1}{6} = a \cdot 0 + \beta \cdot \frac{1}{4} + a \cdot 0$, i.e. $a = \frac{1}{6}, \beta = \frac{2}{3}$.

c. Natural spline:

In this case, it is natural to construct a second test function as follows: Let $u(x)$ over $0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$ be a cubic polynomial with the properties

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u''(0) = 0, \quad u(\frac{1}{2}) \neq 0, \quad u'(\frac{1}{2}) = 0, \tag{2}$$

and then define $u(x)$ for $\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1$ as the reflection around $x = \frac{1}{2}$, i.e. as $u(1-x)$. This function $u(x)$ is a natural cubic spline over $[0,1]$. It is straightforward to see that for ex. $u(x) = x - \frac{4}{3}x^3$ obeys the requirements (2), and satisfies $u(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{3}, \int_0^{1/2} u(x) dx = \frac{5}{48}$. We thus obtain as our second equation $\frac{5}{24} = \frac{1}{3} \beta$, and can conclude that $a = \frac{3}{16}, \beta = \frac{5}{8}$.

3. Interpolation Approximation: Solution:

Since e is continuous, there must exist $\xi \in [a, b]$ that satisfy

$$M = e(\xi) = \max_{x \in [a, b]} e(x)$$

4. Linear algebra: Solution:

(a) This is a result of the following identities:

$$\max_{x=0} \frac{QARx^2}{x^2} = \max_{y=0} \frac{QARRy^2}{Ry^2} = \max_{y=0} \frac{QAY^2}{y^2} = \max_{y=0} \frac{\langle A^T Q Q A y, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle} = \max_{y=0} \frac{\langle A^T A y, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle}.$$

(b) $A = U V$, where U, V are $n \times n$ unitary and Λ is $n \times n$ diagonal.

(c) $A = U V \Lambda = V U \Lambda = A = A^T$.

(d) Suppose $Au = \lambda u$, where $0 \neq u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\|u\| = 1$. Then $\lambda(A) = \frac{u^T A u}{u^T u} = \frac{u^T \lambda u}{u^T u} = \lambda \frac{u^T u}{u^T u} = \lambda$.

(e) $\lambda^2(A) = \max_{x=0} \frac{\langle A^T A x, x \rangle}{\langle x, x \rangle} = \max_{x=0} \frac{\langle A^2 x, x \rangle}{\langle x, x \rangle} = \max_{x=0} \langle A^2 x, x \rangle$.

6. **Numerical PDEs:** **Solution:**

a. The difference approximation is $\frac{u(x, t+k) - u(x, t)}{k} = \frac{u(x+h, t) - 2u(x, t) + u(x-h, t)}{h^2}$.

b. Substitute $u(x, t) = \zeta^{t/k} e^{i\omega x}$ into the difference approximation above to obtain $\zeta = 1 + \frac{k}{h^2} 2(\cos \omega h - 1)$. When ωh varies over $[-\pi, \pi]$, the expression